LAW OFFICES

J Russell Farrar William N Bates Kristin Ellis Berexa Teresa Reall Ricks Molly R Cripps Mary Byrd Ferrara* Robyn Beale Williams Jennifer Orr Locklin Keith F Blue

Christopher J Larkin**

٠<u>.</u>

*Also licensed in KY
**Also licensed in AL

FARRAR & BATES, L.L.P.

211 Seventh Avenue North Suite 420 Nashville, Tennessee 37219 Of Counsel

H LaDon Baltimore

Telephone 615-254-3060
Facsimile 615-254-9835
E-Mail fblaw@farrar-bares.com/UL 20 PM 4 17

TN REGULATORY AUTHORITY
DOCKET ROOM

July 20, 2005

Honorable Ron Jones, Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority ATTN: Sharla Dillon, Dockets 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-5015

RE. Joint Petition for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended

Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 04-00046

CLARIFICATION for Joint Petitioners' Rebuttal to BellSouth's Reply Regarding Removing Certain Issues from the Joint Petitioners' Section 252 Arbitration Proceeding

Dear Chairman Jones:

1

It has been brought to my attention that a footnote reference in the Joint Petitioners' Rebuttal filed July 18, 2005 in the above-referenced matter perhaps needs clarification

Footnote 18 of the Joint Petitioners' Rebuttal in the above-captioned arbitration states that "[t]he Tennessee Regulatory Authority similarly rebuffed efforts by BellSouth to remove issues from an earlier Section 252 arbitration involving ICG." The TRA Order quoted in that note was issued within ICG arbitration and held, *inter alia*, that the Authority has jurisdiction to consider in a Section 252 arbitration whether BellSouth has a forecasting obligation. The TRA reasoned that *US West Communications Inc v Minnesota Public Utilities Communication*, which

Petition by ICG Telecom Group, Inc. for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with Bellsouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Final Arbitration Order, Docket No. 99-00377 at 9 (Aug. 4, 2000).

US West Communications, Inc v Minnesota Pub Utils Comm'n, 55 F Supp 2d 968, 985 (D. Minn 1999).

Joint Petition for Arbitration of NewSouth Communications Corp, et al. with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc, Joint Petitioners' Rebuttal to BellSouth's Reply Regarding Removing Certain Issues from the Joint Petitioners' Section 252 Arbitration Proceeding, Docket No. 04-00046 at 7, n. 18 (July 18, 2005)

LAW OFFICES FARRAR & BATES, L.L.P.

Honorable Ron Jones, Chairman July 20, 2005 Page 2

held that "a state commission has the authority to resolve in an arbitration proceeding 'any open issues' relating to interconnection, whether or not those issues are expressly covered by Section 251," rendered the forecasting matter an "open issue" that could properly be decided in a Section 252 arbitration. Accordingly, the TRA's decision supports the Joint Petitioners' position that BellSouth may not shield open, negotiated interconnection issues from a Section 252 arbitration

Respectfully submitted,

LaDon Baltimore

FARRAR & BATES, L.L.P. 211 Seventh Avenue North

Suite 420

Nashville, TN 37219

Tel. (615) 254-3060

Fax (615) 254-9835

E-mail: don.baltimore@farrar-bates.com

John J. Heitmann Heather T. Hendrickson KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP

1200 19th, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

Tel. (202) 955-9600

Fax (202) 955-9792

E-mail: jheitmann@kelleydrye.com E-mail: hhendrickson@kellevdrye.com

Counsel for the Joint Petitioners

Certificate of Service

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been forwarded via electronic transmission to the following, this the day of July, 2005.

Guy Hicks, Esq. BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 333 Commerce Street, Suite 2101 Nashville, TN 37201

Id